

Agenda

Pwyllgor Cynllunio

Date: Dydd Mercher, 2 Mehefin 2021

Time: 10.00 am

Venue: Siambr y Cyngor, Canolfan Ddinesig

To: Councillors J Richards (Cadeirydd), J Guy (Dirprwy Gadeirydd), J Clarke, T Holyoake, T Watkins, R White, W Routley, C Ferris, J Jordan and M Spencer

WEBCASTING NOTICE

This meeting may be filmed for live or subsequent broadcast via the Council's internet site.

At the start of the meeting the Mayor or Person Presiding will confirm if all or part of the meeting is being filmed. The images and sound recording may be also used for training purposes within the Council.

Generally the public seating areas are not filmed. However by entering the meeting room and using the public seating area, you are consenting to being filmed and to the possible use of those images and sound recordings for webcasting and/or training purposes.

If you have any queries regarding this, please contact the Chief Democratic Services Officer.

NB: Please click on the link to view [the Planning Code of Practice](#):-

Copies of the Planning Code of Practice will be available at the meeting.

Part 1

Item	Wards Affected
1. Ymddiheuriadau dros Absenoldeb	
2. Datganiadau o ddiddordeb	
3. Cofnodion y cyfarfod a gynhaliwyd ar 5 Mai 2021 (Tudalennau 3 - 6)	
4. Rheoli Datblygu Amserlen Ceisiadau Cynllunio	
5. Penderfyniadau Apêl (Tudalennau 7 - 12)	
6. Gweunydd Cyfarfod Cynllunio, 2 Mehefin 2021 - YouTube	

Contact: Pamela Tasker, Swyddog Llywodraethu :

Tel: 01633 656656

E-mail: democratic.services@newport.gov.uk

Date of Issue: Dydd Mercher, 26 Mai 2021

Minutes



Planning Committee

Date: 5 May 2021

Time: 10.00 am

Present: Councillors J Richards (Chair), J Guy (Deputy Chair), J Clarke, T Holyoake, T Watkins, R White, W Routley, C Ferris, J Jordan, and M Spencer

In Attendance: Tracey Brooks (Head of Regeneration, Investment and Housing), Matthew Sharp (Acting Development Services Manager), Stephen John Williams (West Area Planning Manager), Joanne Evans (Senior Solicitor), Joanne Davidson (East Area Development Manager), Anna Griffiths (Senior Traffic Transport & Development Officer), Councillor J. Cleverly, Pamela Tasker (Governance Support Officer), Ellie Howard (Graduate Trainee) and Neil Barnett (Scrutiny Adviser)

1. **Declarations of Interest**

Councillor Jason Jordan – declared a pre-determination issue with application 20/1162 and left the meeting.

Councillor Trevor Watkins- declared a prejudicial interest in application 20/1157 and left the meeting.

2. **Minutes of the meeting held on 7 April 2021**

The minutes of the meeting held on 7 April 2021 were submitted.

Resolved

That the minutes of the meeting held on 7 April 2021 be taken as read and confirmed,

3. **Development Management: Planning Application Schedule**

(1) That decisions be recorded as shown on the Planning Applications Schedule attached as an Appendix A

(2) That the Development Services Manager be authorised to draft any amendments to/additional conditions or reasons for refusal in respect of the Planning Applications Schedule, attached.

The meeting terminated at 10:48am

Mae'r dudalen hon yn wag yn

Appendix A
 PLANNING COMMITTEE – 05 05 2021
 DECISION SCHEDULE

No	Site/Proposal	Ward	Additional Comments	Decision
20/1157	<p>Site: Tredegar Park Primary School</p> <p>Proposal: Installation of new perimeter fence</p> <p>Recommendation: Granted with conditions</p>	Tredegar Park Ward	<p>Presented to Committee as this relates to Council owned land.</p> <p>Councillor Watkins declared an interest as School Governor of Tredegar Park Primary so left the meeting before the application was discussed.</p>	Granted with conditions
20/1162	<p>Site: 68 Wye Crescent, Bettws</p> <p>Proposal: Change of use of land to residential, construction of hardstanding for parking and erection of boundary fence</p> <p>Recommendation: Refused</p>	Bettws	<p>Called to Committee as requested by Councillor Jordan who has confirmed he supports the proposal.</p> <p>Councillor Jordan declared a pre-determination issue with this application and withdrew from the meeting before the application was discussed.</p> <p>Councillor Cleverly spoke as Ward Member.</p>	Refused

Judalen 5

Mae'r dudalen hon yn wag yn

Report

Appeal Decisions

Part 1

Date: 2nd June 2021

Item No: 5

Subject **Appeal Decisions**

Purpose To record the outcome of recent planning appeals

Author **Head of Regeneration, Investment and Housing**

Wards Caerleon, Langstone and Llanwern,

Summary In consultation with the Chair or Deputy Chair of Planning Committee, the Acting Head of Regeneration, Investment and Housing has delegated powers to determine planning applications previously determined by Planning Committee. The following planning appeal decisions are reported to help inform future decisions.

Proposal **To accept the appeal decisions as a basis for informing future decisions.**

Action by Development and Regeneration Manager

Timetable Not applicable

This report was prepared without consultation because it is a record of recent planning appeals to help inform future decisions.

Background

The reports contained in this schedule provide information on recent appeal decisions.

The purpose of the attached reports is to inform future decision-making. This will help ensure that future decisions benefit the City and its communities by allowing good quality development in the right locations and resisting inappropriate or poor quality development in the wrong locations.

The applicant has a statutory right of appeal against the refusal of permission in most cases. There is no Third Party right of appeal against a decision.

Work is carried out by existing staff and there are no staffing issues. It is sometimes necessary to employ a Barrister to act on the Council's behalf in defending decisions at planning appeals. This cost is met by existing budgets. Where an application is refused against Officer advice, during this interim arrangement, the Acting Head of Regeneration, Investment and Housing, along with the Chair/Deputy Chair of Planning Committee will be required to assist in defending their decision at appeal.

Where applicable as planning considerations, specific issues relating to sustainability and environmental issues, equalities impact and crime prevention impact of each proposed development are addressed in the relevant report in the attached schedule.

Financial Summary

The cost of defending decisions at appeal is met by existing budgets. Costs can be awarded against the Council at an appeal if the Council has acted unreasonably and/or cannot defend its decisions. Similarly, costs can be awarded in the Council's favour if an appellant has acted unreasonably and/or cannot substantiate their grounds of appeal.

Risks

The key risk relating to appeal decisions relates to awards of costs against the Council.

An appeal can be lodged by the applicant if planning permission is refused, or if planning permission is granted but conditions are imposed, or against the Council's decision to take formal enforcement action. Costs can be awarded against the Council if decisions cannot be defended as reasonable, or if it behaves unreasonably during the appeal process, for example by not submitting required documents within required timescales. Conversely, costs can be awarded in the Council's favour if the appellant cannot defend their argument or behaves unreasonably.

An appeal can also be lodged by the applicant if the application is not determined within the statutory time period. However, with major developments, which often require a Section 106 agreement, it is unlikely that the application will be determined within the statutory time period. Appeals against non-determination are rare due to the further delay in receiving an appeal decision: it is generally quicker for applicants to wait for the Planning Authority to determine the application. Costs could only be awarded against the Council if it is found to have acted unreasonably. Determination of an application would only be delayed for good reason, such as resolving an objection or negotiating improvements or Section 106 contributions, and so the risk of a costs award is low.

Mitigation measures to reduce risk are detailed in the table below. The probability of these risks occurring is considered to be low due to the mitigation measures, however the costs associated with a public inquiry can be very significant. These are infrequent, so the impact is considered to be medium.

Risk	Impact of Risk if it occurs* (H/M/L)	Probability of risk occurring (H/M/L)	What is the Council doing or what has it done to avoid the risk or reduce its effect	Who is responsible for dealing with the risk?
Decisions challenged at appeal and costs awarded against the Council.	M	L	<p>Ensure reasons for refusal can be defended at appeal;</p> <p>Ensure planning conditions imposed meet the tests set out in Circular 016/2014.</p> <p>Provide guidance to Head of RIH/Chair/Deputy of Planning Committee regarding relevant material planning considerations, conditions and reasons for refusal.</p> <p>Ensure appeal timetables are adhered to.</p>	<p>Head of RIH with Chair/Deputy of Planning Committee</p> <p>Head of RIH with Chair/Deputy of Planning Committee</p> <p>Development Services Manager and Senior Legal Officer</p> <p>Planning Officers</p>
Appeal lodged against non-determination, with costs awarded against the Council	M	L	Avoid delaying the determination of applications unreasonably.	Development Services Manager

* Taking account of proposed mitigation measures

Links to Council Policies and Priorities

Not applicable. This report is a record of decisions made by the Planning Inspectorate and/or Welsh Ministers.

Options Available

To accept the appeal decisions as a basis for informing future decisions.

Preferred Option and Why

To accept the appeal decisions as a basis for informing future decisions.

Comments of Chief Financial Officer

In the normal course of events, there should be no specific financial implications arising from the determination of planning applications.

There is always a risk of a planning decision being challenged at appeal. The costs of defending decisions and any award of costs must be met by existing budgets.

Comments of Monitoring Officer

There are no legal implications other than those referred to in the report or detailed above.

Staffing Implications: Comments of Head of People and Business Change

Development Management work is undertaken by an in-house team and therefore there are no staffing implications arising from this report. Officer recommendations have been based on adopted planning policy which aligns with the Single Integrated Plan and the Council's Corporate Plan objectives.

Local issues

Not applicable. This report is a record of decisions made by the Planning Inspectorate and/or Welsh Ministers.

Equalities Impact Assessment and the Equalities Act 2010

The Equality Act 2010 contains a Public Sector Equality Duty which came into force on 06 April 2011. The Act identifies a number of 'protected characteristics', namely age; disability; gender reassignment; pregnancy and maternity; race; religion or belief; sex; sexual orientation; marriage and civil partnership. The new single duty aims to integrate consideration of equality and good relations into the regular business of public authorities. Compliance with the duty is a legal obligation and is intended to result in better informed decision-making and policy development and services that are more effective for users. In exercising its functions, the Council must have due regard to the need to: eliminate unlawful discrimination, harassment, victimisation and other conduct that is prohibited by the Act; advance equality of opportunity between persons who share a protected characteristic and those who do not; and foster good relations between persons who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. The Act is not overly prescriptive about the approach a public authority should take to ensure due regard, although it does set out that due regard to advancing equality involves: removing or minimising disadvantages suffered by people due to their protected characteristics; taking steps to meet the needs of people from protected groups where these differ from the need of other people; and encouraging people from protected groups to participate in public life or in other activities where their participation is disproportionately low.

An Equality Impact Assessment for delivery of the Development Management service has been completed and can be viewed on the Council's website.

Children and Families (Wales) Measure

Not applicable. This report is a record of decisions made by the Planning Inspectorate and/or Welsh Ministers.

Consultation

Not applicable. This report is a record of decisions made by the Planning Inspectorate and/or Welsh Ministers.

Background Papers

Not applicable

Dated: 2nd 2021

Planning Application Appeal

Reference	21/0019
Address	36 Cambria Close, Caerleon, Newport, NP18 1LF
Development	Proposed extension of existing attached garage
Appellant	Mrs K. Mitchem
Officer Decision	Refuse
Committee Decision	N/A
Appeal Decision	Dismissed

Planning Application Appeal

Reference	20/0995
Address	Jasminium, Station Road, Llanwern, Newport, NP18 2DW
Development	Proposed two-storey side extension and widening of existing access
Appellant	Mr L. McCarthy
Officer Recommendation	Refuse
Committee Decision	Refuse
Appeal Decision	Dismissed

Planning Application Appeal

Reference	20/1161
Address	The Cottage, Llandevaud Farm, Llandevaud, Newport, NP18 2AD
Development	Proposed detached garage with gymnasium over plus a pergola
Appellant	Mrs J. Lord
Officer Decision	Part allowed / part refused
Committee Decision	N/A
Appeal Decision	Part allowed / part refused

Prosecutions

Reference	E18/0378
Address	Land opposite Drenewydd Reen and south of Ty Mawr Lane
Development	Mixed use comprising the keeping of horses and the storage of scrap metal, equipment and vehicles associated with the appellant's off-site business interests.
Appellant	Henry and Tony Price
Officer Decision	Notice served
Appeal Decision	Dismissed
Court Decision	Fined £800 each and £432.70 costs were awarded to the Authority

